UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/599,993	10/17/2006	Erwin Rinaldo Meinders	NL 040402	7930
24737 7590 09/17/2009 PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS P.O. BOX 3001 PRIMARCH HE MANOR NY 10510			EXAMINER	
			CAO, ALLEN T	
BKIAKCLIFF	BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2627	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/17/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/599,993	MEINDERS ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Allen T. Cao	2627			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 Ju	lv 2008				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	action is non-final.				
	<u> </u>				
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3,10 and 11</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) <u>2 and 4-9</u> is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application Papers					
··· <u> </u>	•				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>23 July 2008</u> is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment/c)					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/8/07 & 10/9/07.	5) Notice of Informal Page 6) Other:	atent Application			

Art Unit: 2627

1. Claims 1-11 are objected to because of the following informalities: The term "can be" in claims 1 and 10 should be changed to –being—or –is--. Appropriate correction is required.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 1, 3 and 10-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Morito (US. 2003/179679).

Morito discloses a record carrier (fig. 1) comprising a plurality of stacked layers (205,206,207; see figs. 2- 4,17), the optical properties of at least a part of the stacked layers being changeable by applying heat (par. 122), thereby providing a stack of optical property changing layers, so that a visible pattern can be written on the record carrier by applying a laser beam (par. 131) to an upper surface (208) of the stacked layers, wherein, depending on the power of the laser beam (paragraphs 124,177-183), the laser beam is able to reach the lower layers (205) of the stack of optical property changing layers, wherein the temperature (see fig. 4) required to induce an optical property change [claim 1 reads: increases] decreases from the upper to the lower optical property changing layers as set forth in claims 1, 3 and 10-11.

Morito does not disclose that the record carrier in that the temperature required to induce an optical property change increases (decrease in Morito) from the upper to

Application/Control Number: 10/599,993 Page 3

Art Unit: 2627

the lower optical property changing layers while in D1 the temperature decreases from the upper to the lower optical property changing layers.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the invention was made to modify the record carrier of Morito such that the temperature required to induce an optical property change increases instead of decrease through an obvious routine lab experimentation and optimization in order to improve the optical properties of the record carrier.

- 3. Claims 2 and 4-9 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Allen T. Cao whose telephone number is (571) 272-7569. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon Thurs (7:30 6:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, ANDREA WELLINGTON can be reached on (571) 272-4483. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/599,993 Page 4

Art Unit: 2627

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Allen T Cao/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2627

/A. T. C./ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2627